## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CRAIG ALAN TOAZ, No. 3:23-CV-00375

Plaintiff, (Chief Judge Brann)

v. (Magistrate Judge Carlson)

ZACHARY ALBRIGHT, et al.,

Defendants.

## **ORDER**

## **DECEMBER 29, 2023**

Craig Alan Toaz filed this civil rights complaint alleging that several individuals violated his civil rights.<sup>1</sup> Subsequently, several documents that were mailed to Toaz were returned to this Court as undeliverable, and Toaz has not provided the Court with a viable mailing address to where the Court may mail him documents. Consequently, on November 22, 2023, Magistrate Judge Martin C. Carlson issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that this Court deem the matter abandoned and dismiss Toaz's complaint without prejudice.<sup>2</sup> The copy of the Report and Recommendation sent to Toaz was returned as undeliverable<sup>3</sup> and, accordingly, no timely objections were filed to the Report and Recommendation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Doc. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Doc. 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Doc. 14.

Where no objection is made to a report and recommendation, this Court will

review the recommendation only for clear error.<sup>4</sup> Regardless of whether objections

are made, district courts may accept, reject, or modify—in whole or in part—the

findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.<sup>5</sup> Upon review of the

record, the Court finds no error—clear or otherwise—in Magistrate Judge Carlson's

recommendation. Consequently, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Magistrate Judge Martin C. Carlson's Report and Recommendation

(Doc. 11) is **ADOPTED**;

2. Toaz's complaint (Doc. 1) is **DISMISSED** without prejudice; and

3. The Clerk of Court is directed to **CLOSE** this case.

BY THE COURT:

s/Matthew W. Brann

Matthew W. Brann

Chief United States District Judge

<sup>5</sup> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule 72.31.

2

Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), advisory committee notes; *see Henderson v. Carlson*, 812 F.2d 874, 878 (3d Cir. 1987) (explaining that court should in some manner review recommendations regardless of whether objections were filed).